

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-13125 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.04.2018**

Ranjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Kanwar Patial Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in case FIR No.98 dated 09.06.2017 registered under Section 376 of IPC and Section 5 of POCSO Act, 2012 at P.S. 'B' Division, District Amritsar (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that according to the complaint the petitioner was caught on the spot. He also refers to the ossification report and urges that the daughter of the complainant is 15-17 years old and not 11 years as mentioned in the complaint. Counsel further submits that the victim has been examined and there is a contradiction with respect to the place of occurrence. The counsel further submits that in the Court, the victim had stated that her brother had given the name of the person who had violated her and she did not know who had raped her.

According to the complaint, the victim is 11 years old. The petitioner is her Uncle. The allegations are that he administered some tablets to the child and thereafter she was raped. Spermatozoa has been detected. It is the trial Court which will go into the merits of the case and it is not to be considered here.

No ground for bail is made out.

The petition is dismissed.

April 04, 2018

ps-I

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No