

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.13116 of 2018
Date of Decision: 02.04.2018

Dilvar Singh

....Petitioner

Vs

State of UT Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. J.S. Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.2 and 3 in the context of protecting his life and personal liberties from being invaded by respondents No.4 to 12.

Petitioner has alleged that he is owner of Plot No.2088, Pipliwala Town, Ward No.26, Manimajra, Chandigarh. Respondent No.4 is known to the petitioner and he started working/collecting building material in the vacant plot belonging to the petitioner without there being any creation of lease or otherwise in favour of respondent No.4. Respondent No.4 got the electric connection installed by forging number of the plot and thereafter, started claiming right over the plot, for which a

complaint and representation filed by the petitioner before respondent No.2 is pending.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Rajiv Sharma, A.P.P., UT, Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

At this stage, without forming any opinion with regard to veracity of allegations made by the petitioner, it would be appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to have a fair glance on the representation of the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact made hereinabove.

Disposed of.

02.04.2018

jyoti

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No