

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.1298 of 2018
Date of Decision: 15.01.2018

Mandeep Singh

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 for protecting his life and personal liberties from being invaded at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 06.10.2017, interim stay was granted by Civil Judge (Junior Division), Malerkotla in a suit for permanent injunction filed by the petitioner against Darbara Singh. The petitioner is a tenant in a shop and is transacting his business of welding work. Some allegations were made by Darbara Singh by sending his daughters and daughter-in-law on the shop of the petitioner.

Precisely in the said context, the petitioner has

already moved a representation to respondent No.2 (Annexure P-2). The petitioner has alleged allegations against respondent No.3 also for which he seeks redressal of his grievance by way of representation in question.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to have a fair look on the representation of the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law.

15.01.2018

jyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No