

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12967-2018 (O&M)
Decided on: 03.07.2018

Dr. Sanjiv Mahajan

.... Petitioner

Versus

Dr. Reena Mahajan

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Yogesh Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)

Affidavit by the petitioner has been filed in the Registry alongwith copy of form No.16.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The petitioner is feeling aggrieved by the order 28.03.2017 passed by Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Palwal vide which his wife Dr. Reena Mahajan and minor children Ms. Sanjana and Master Rakshit had been granted interim maintenance @ Rs.12,000/- to Dr. Reena Mahajan and Rs.8,000/- each per month for Ms. Sanjana and Master Rakshit, petitioners No.1, 2 and 3 receptively in the petition for grant of maintenance against Dr. Sanjiv Mahajan.

Dr. Sanjiv Mahajan-respondent in that petition had preferred a revision petition before Court of Sessions, but the same was dismissed by Additional Sessions Judge, Palwal, vide order dated 30.01.2018.

As such, he had approached this Court by way of filing petition under Section 482(2) Cr.P.C. challenging the order passed by the Courts below.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner before this Court and going through the record, I find that no ground for interference in the case is made

out. The orders passed by the Courts below are well reasoned and show due application of mind taking into the view the facts and circumstances of the case, besides the law on the subject. There is no illegality or infirmity in such orders, which might have called for interference by this Court while exercising jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

The Courts below have found that the revisionist being husband of Dr. Reena Mahajan and father of children, namely, Ms. Sanjana and Master Rakshit is legally bound to maintain them. His income has been properly assessed and thereafter, interim maintenance was granted which can certainly be not termed as excessive or on higher side, keeping in view the economic status of the parties, the trend of rising prices and the needs of growing children, especially for their education.

There is no merit in the petition and the same stands dismissed.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

03.07.2018

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No