

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-12899 of 2018

Date of Decision: March 27, 2018

Rajnish Thakur

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No. 1 and 2 to decide the complaint dated 26.12.2017 (Annexure P-5).

It is alleged that the petitioner agreed to purchase some land from respondents No. 3 and 4. Earnest money was paid in the presence of witnesses. However, sale deed was not got executed. Upon enquiry, it was revealed that respondent No. 3 had got the sale deed executed in his own name from the original owners. Thereafter, a civil suit was filed and during the pendency of the said suit a compromise was reached between the parties. Accordingly, respondent No. 3 executed sale deed in favour of the petitioner. Later on, it was revealed that the land sold was part of the street and also included land of one Gurminder Singh. On coming to know of the fraud committed by respondents No. 3 and 4, representation (Annexure P-5) was submitted to the Deputy Commissioner of Police Crime, Ludhiana, but no action has been taken thereupon.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amandeep S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2. Let three copies of petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

In view of the nature of order that is being passed there is no necessity to issue notice to respondents No. 3 and 4 at this stage.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No. 2 to look into the allegations made in the representation (Annexure P-5) and associate the petitioner with the enquiry. It is made clear that the enquiry would be confined to determine whether there is any threat to the life, liberty or property of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of respondents No. 3 and 4. In case such a threat is revealed, appropriate action be taken in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

March 27, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No