

CRM-M-1283-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1283-2018

Date of Decision: 12th January, 2018

Tamanna & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty assailing that respondents No.4 to 7 are not inclined to permit them to stay together. At the time when the case was taken up for hearing, Mr. Kanhiya Soni, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.5-Sonia, wife of Rajesh Kumar and mother of petitioner No.1. A desire was expressed by respondent No.5 to meet her daughter, which was permitted in Court and the case was kept aside for some time. Thereafter, when the case was taken up for hearing again, the said respondent is satisfied after meeting her daughter, however, she has certain apprehensions with regard to the unemployment of petitioner No.2.

Counsel for respondents No.5, on instructions from respondent No.5, who is present in Court, states that the apprehensions of the petitioners are unfounded. However, keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case, the representation dated 11.01.2018

CRM-M-1283-2018

(Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police Sangrur-respondent No.2 is directed to be looked into by himself or by the Station House Officer of Police Station City Sangrur (respondent No.3) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law, if required.

The petition is disposed of without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon with above directions.

Copy of this order be given ***dasti*** to the counsel for the parties under the signature of the Bench Secretary of this Court.

12th January, 2018
Harish

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No