

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. 525 of 1994 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 16, 2018

Shiromani Gurdwara Parbandhak Committee, Sri Amritsar

..... Appellant

v.

Giani Hari Singh and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sukhbir Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Vinay Sain, Advocate
for Mr. GS Nagra, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

It is stated that all the three respondents have since died. I find that the petition was filed by the appellant for recovery of lease money amounting to ₹ 12,050/- for the years 1977 to 1981 against the respondents on the ground that while they were in control of the Gurdwara they had permitted the said claim to be time barred in connivance with the lessees. The Court below dismissed the petition on the ground that the management had been taken over by the appellant in 1980 and on that day none of the claims was time barred and consequently the respondents could not have been held solely responsible. This fact as well as the fact that the respondents are now dead persuades me to dismiss this appeal. Ordered accordingly.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

May 16, 2018
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No