

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1271 of 2018

Date of decision: September 24, 2018

Janak Raj

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. K.B.S. Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J. S. Walia, Sr. DAG., Punjab.

Mr. Khusheep S. Mann, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties have reached at an amicable settlement and the appeal against conviction is pending before the lower appellate court which may be directed to consider the same at the time of deciding the appeal.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to the lower appellate court to keep in mind the compromise arrived at between the parties while deciding the appeal.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

September 24, 2018

archana

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No