

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-1180-2017
Decided on: 04.09.2018**

Bakshish Singh and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Sukhbir Maandi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Prabha Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)

Petitioners – Bakshish Singh and others have brought the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of DDR No.34 dated 30.08.2014, for offences under Sections 326, 324, 148 and 149 of IPC in FIR No. 132 dated 29.08.2014, for offences under Sections 354, 324 and 323 of IPC, registered at Police Station Harike, District Tarn Taran, against them, alongwith consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise, stated to have been effected between them and complainant Gursahib Singh - arrayed as respondent No.2.

When the petition came up for hearing on 06.02.2017, notice of motion was ordered to be issued. The respondent No. 1 - State of Punjab through State counsel, whereas respondent No.2 through Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate, had put in appearance. Then in light of the contention that parties have since effected compromise, they were directed to put in

appearance before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to compromise and the trial Court was directed to send a report to this Court.

Report has been received from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Patii, through District and Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran, in terms of which complainant Gursahib Singh and accused, namely, Bakshish Singh, Amarjit Kaur, Daljit Kaur-minor daughter of Bakshish Singh, Malkeet Singh @ Mona and Jagroop Singh had appeared there and their statements were recorded, in terms of which they have admitted to have entered into a voluntary compromise, with free will, without any pressure, coercion or undue influence. Further complainant has stated that he has no objection if the DDR in question is quashed by this Court. There is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise so arrived at between the parties. It has been reported that no accused has been declared proclaimed offender in the DDR in question. Alongwith the report statement of the complainant and all the accused, in original, have been annexed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned State counsel, besides going through the record.

The dispute between the parties has been resolved amicably, which appears to have been arrived at between them voluntarily without any threat or coercion and in terms of ratio of the authority reported as **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others** 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, where in para 28, it has been held as under :-

“The compromise, in a modern society, is the sine qua non of harmony and orderly behaviour. It is the soul of justice and if the power under Section 482

of the Cr.P.C. is used to enhance such a compromise which, in turn, enhances the social amity and reduces friction, then it truly is "*finest hour of justice*".

It has been observed that High Court has power to quash prosecution in order to achieve ends of justice and to prevent abuse of process of law. Though such powers are unlimited but those are to be exercised sparingly and with utmost care and caution. Though there is no statutory bar which can effect the inherent power of High Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

It is stated that challan has not been filed in the Court so far and cross-case has also been compromised.

It is further stated that a grievous injury is on a little finger of Gursahib Singh.

The compromise is in interest of peace and tranquility in the society and for such like reasons this Court can quash the DDR and ancillary proceedings exercising power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., it appears to be a fit case to exercise such powers.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the abovesaid DDR alongwith ancillary proceedings is hereby quashed.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

04.09.2018

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No