

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-1262 of 2018

Date of decision: January 29, 2018

Sajid Ali @ Raseed

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Karan Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.A. Pathak, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

This is a petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in a case registered against the petitioner under Sections 307, 323, 325, 341, 506, 148, 149, 120-B IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Sadar Barnala, District Barnala, vide FIR No.85 dated 30.08.2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is not named in the FIR. One simply injury is attributed to him. He has been arraigned as an accused on the basis of supplementary statement of the complainant. He is in custody since 18.11.2017. Thus, no useful purpose will be served by detaining the petitioner in custody any longer.

Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature.

Heard. Keeping in view the aforesaid contentions, period of incarceration of the petitioner, I am of the considered view that no useful purpose will be served by detaining the petitioner in custody any longer.

The trial may take long time to conclude. Thus, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Barnala.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

January 29, 2018
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable:	Yes / No