

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.12602 of 2018
Date of Decision: 26.03.2018

Parveen Kumar

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Kanishk Lakhanpal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner has alleged allegations against respondent No.4 in the context of his involvement in pressurizing the petitioner for selling his property.

Precisely for the grievance in question, a complaint has already been moved before respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the merits or otherwise of the undated representation (Annexure P-4) (if at all received in the office of respondent No.2), respondent No.2 is directed to have a fair look into the matter and decide the same in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

26.03.2018

jyoti

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No