

**CRM-M-12536-2018 and
CRM-M-20076-2018**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:30.08.2018

1. CRM-M-12536-2018

Ranjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-20076-2018

Gurdeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep S. Majithia, Advocate,
for the petitioners in both the petitions.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-12536-2018, filed by
petitioner-Ranjit Kaur and CRM-M-20076-2018, filed by petitioner-
Gurdeep Singh, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973
(for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.225 dated

**CRM-M-12536-2018 and
CRM-M-20076-2018**

21.11.2017, registered at Police Station Sultanwind, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar, under Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the FIR, complainant-Narinder Singh is doing the work of gold-smith. The petitioners namely Gurdeep Singh and his wife-Ranjit Kaur contacted the complainant and stated him that he may give gold nose-pins and they would give him profit after selling it and the payment of the same would be deposited in his account. On 23.08.2017, they again came and took away gold nose pins weighing 1448 grams and 560 miligrams, amount of which comes to Rs.30 lakhs approximately, from the complainant, but they did not pay the money after making promises. The cheques handed over by the petitioners have already been bounced.

Learned counsel for the complainant admits that complaint regarding cheque bounce has already been filed.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 17.04.2018 passed in CRM-M-12536-2018 and dated 11.05.2018 passed in CRM-M-20076-2018

**CRM-M-12536-2018 and
CRM-M-20076-2018**

not required for custodial interrogation. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending them to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in both these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 17.04.2018 passed in CRM-M-12536-2018 and dated 11.05.2018 passed in CRM-M-20076-2018, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

However, nothing stated above shall constitute my opinion on merits of the case.

30.08.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No