

**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Hemlata
2018.02.02 17:49
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-1253-2018(O&M)

Date of decision: 02.02.2018

Jitender Arora

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr.Rohit Khullar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nitin Kumar, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 439 Cr. P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.12 dated 09.11.2017 registered under Section 3 Railway Property (Unlawful Possession) Act, 1966, at Police Station R.P.F. Gurugram.

Affidavit of Inspector, Enquiry Officer, Railway Protection Force, Police Station Gurgaon has been filed in Court today.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the investigation, in this case, is complete; challan has already been presented and the offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate. It is further submitted that one of the co-accused, namely, Bijender Kumar, has already been granted concession of regular bail, vide order dated 19.01.2018 passed in CRM-M-48529 of 2017.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that huge recovery was made from the petitioner and the petitioner has not disclosed his present address.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, considering the fact that challan has already been presented; the petitioner is not required for any further investigation; he is in judicial lock up since 12.11.2017, the offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate; the conclusion of the trial will take long time, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail subject to furnishing his personal bond with two sureties to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

The trial Court will ensure that while furnishing the bail/surety bond, that petitioner has given his permanent address and will also ensure that one of the surety is of local vicinity.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

02.02.2018

Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No