

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-12518 of 2018

Date of Decision: March 26, 2018

Satwinder Kaur @ Satto and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed this petition seeking directions to the official respondents to comply with Section 160 Cr.P.C., in case the petitioners are required for any investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amandeep S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4. Let five copies of petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

In view of the nature of order that is being passed there is no necessity to issue notice to respondent No. 5 at this stage.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners are being unnecessarily harassed by respondent No. 5. On three earlier occasions, she has filed complaints with identical contents and they have all been consigned to the record after due enquiry. Yet another complaint has been filed by her and in this connection respondent No. 4 has visited the house of the petitioner for investigation.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is

disposed of with directions to respondents No. 3 and 4 to take into consideration

representation dated 07.03.2018 (Annexure P-8) and any other subsequent representation(s) that may be made in this behalf and to issue seven days notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. to the petitioners, in case they are required in connection with the investigation of any cognizable offence.

March 26, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No