

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 12395 of 2018

Date of decision : 20.11.2018

Sikander alias Lala and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

In pursuance of order dated 28.09.2018, both the petitioners have filed their respective affidavits in the Court today stating therein that they do not possess any arms licence. The affidavits are taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency, whereas learned State counsel, on instructions from S.I. Jagpal Singh, has opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners on the ground that serious allegations are there against the petitioners for firing shots and causing injuries.

It has not been submitted by learned State counsel as to whether any fire shot injuries were there or empties were recovered. However, learned State counsel submits that there were gun shot injuries and empties were recovered in the cross-version and report is still pending.

Keeping in view the allegations as the same are to be tested by the trial Court during trial and cross-version is also there, interim order dated 23.03.2018, passed by this Court in favour of the petitioners is made absolute.

Disposed of.

20.11.2018

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No