

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-11521 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 14, 2018

Shiva Verma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Kanwar Ashwani Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG Haryana.

Ms. Sushma Chopra, Advocate
for complainant/respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.13 dated 02.03.2017, under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Women, Panchkula.

Learned counsel files the fresh power of attorney on behalf of the petitioner, superseding the earlier counsel, which is taken on record. It is submitted that during the pendency of instant petition, parties between themselves have compromised the matter by way of compromise deed dated 31st January, 2018. It has been stated that the parties would part ways and a total sum of Rs.15,00,000/- would be paid to the complainant as full and

final settlement towards permanent alimony i.e. past, preset and future as well as compensation and would also seek divorce under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the matter stands compromised between the parties, which fact is not disputed by counsel appearing on behalf of complainant/respondent, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 05.04.2017 is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

February 14, 2018
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No