

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-12336-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.09.2018**

PIYUSH MITTAL

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Ms. Dolly, Advocate for
Mr. M.S. Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for respondent nos.4 to 6.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

There is a request for adjournment on behalf of counsel for the petitioner.

Counsel for respondent nos.4 to 6 says that an FIR has been registered against the petitioner and anticipatory bail has been allowed to him and this petition has become infructuous.

The present petition had been filed seeking protection. Since the FIR had been registered, no further directions are required to be issued.

In view of the facts narrated by the counsel for respondent nos.4 to 6, this petition is disposed of as infructuous.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

September 18, 2018
Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No