

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1220-2018

Date of Decision: February 23, 2018

Sukhpreet Kaur @ Raman

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.142, dated 04.10.2017, under Sections 306, 388, 506, 201, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar, Kotkapura, District Faridkot.

2. As per the allegations in the FIR, the co-accused of the petitioner killed the deceased as he did not pay the money demanded from him on the basis of his obscene photograph allegedly available with the accused.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and that her mobile phone has been examined but no obscene videos were found therein. He submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case although there is no evidence on record implicating her.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Charanjit Singh,

submits that during investigation it has been revealed that the petitioner took Pooja to the house of Amni for the benefit of the deceased. Thus, submission is that the petitioner is also part of the entire conspiracy and therefore she does not deserve to the grant of bail.

5. Regarding the statues of the trial, learned State counsel submits that the charges have been framed but no prosecution witness has been examined till date. Report of the FSL is also awaited regarding the authenticity of onbcene videos.

6. It thus, appears that the investigation in this case is complete and on date, there is no record of link of petitioner with the crime. Therefore, it would be just and appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail.

7. In view of the above, petition is allowed and the petitioner- SukhprretKuar @ Raman is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court concerned at Faridkot.

8. However, it is made clear that in case the report of the FSL implicates the petitioner, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail.

February 23, 2018
sonia arora

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No