

134

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.12110 of 2018
Date of Decision: 22.03.2018

Gagandeep Singh and others

....Petitioners

Vs

The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Dr. Surinder Singh Joshi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions in the context of mass embezzlement in different Districts of Punjab for which different representations have been made to Senior Superintendent of Police of Patiala, Sangrur, Fatehgarh Sahib, Mohali, Ropar, Ludhiana, Bathinda, Mansa and Chandigarh.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order

prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

At this stage, without meaning anything in respect of veracity of allegations, Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur can be asked to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioners. In case, any cognizable offence is found to have been committed, in such eventuality, concerned Incharge Police Station can be asked to act in accordance with law. If no such cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then the petitioners would be informed as per the parameters laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

It is made clear that the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur shall act in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact made hereinabove.

Disposed of.

22.03.2018

jyoti

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No