

133

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.12079 of 2018
Date of Decision: 22.03.2018

Dharamvir

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Ankit Joshi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

By referring to the facts involved in this petition, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.5 along with his brother Jagdish were convicted for the offences under Sections 323, 324, 326, 148, 149 IPC on earlier occasion and CRR No.850 of 2015 is pending consideration before the High Court.

The dispute is with regard to some land to which both the parties have staked their claims.

Petitioner feels reasonable apprehension and danger to his life and personal liberty from being invaded by the aforesaid private respondents as FIR No.199 dated 09.06.2017 under Sections 323, 34, 341, 506 IPC has been registered against the aforesaid persons.

Precisely for the grievance in question, the petitioner has also moved a representation to respondent No.3 on 27.10.2017.

Learned counsel further submits that name of respondent No.7 is infact Prem daughter of Kuldeep Singh and has been wrongly shown as Prem son of Kuldeep Singh in the memorandum of parties.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. S.P. Singh, D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

At this stage, without embarking upon merits of the case, it would be appropriate to ask respondent No.3 to have a fair look on the representation made by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact made hereinabove.

Disposed of.

22.03.2018

jyoti

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No