

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12069-2018

Date of decision : 15.05.2018

Mishra Singh @ Mann

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab & another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Sandeep Gahlawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Aulakh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Power of attorney on behalf of complainant filed.

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0042 dated 26.02.2018, registered under Sections 354, 342, 506 IPC, Police Station SBS Nagar, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.

The complainant is a tenant in a shop which is situated in the house of the petitioner. This fact is not disputed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that there was a dispute with respect to the rent and false complaint was given after 3 days. Learned State counsel further states that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The counsel for the complainant refutes the allegations.

The petitioner has joined the investigation. Sunil
2018-05-17
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
interrogation is not necessary. Looking to all these circumstances, but
without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and
order dated 22.03.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made
absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2
Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

From now onwards as a precautionary measure, the rent would
be paid by the complainant through cheque or demand draft so that there is
no dispute with respect to rent.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

15.05.2018
Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No