

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.12032 of 2018
Date of Decision: 22.03.2018

Sunita Sharma and another

....Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. IPS Mangat, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents to protect their lives and personal liberties from being invaded at the instance of respondents No.3 and 4.

Petitioners have pleaded that their son Sandeep Sharma was married to respondent No.3 on 18.02.2012. The matrimonial life of son of the petitioners and respondent No.3 has gone in rough weather. Petitioners had already disowned their son Sandeep Sharma vide notice dated 31.05.2016. Allegations of harassment have been made for which a representation was also given to Deputy Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar on 28.11.2017.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

At this stage, without embarking upon merits of the case, it would be appropriate to ask Deputy Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to have a fair look on the representation made by the petitioners and decide the same in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

22.03.2018

jyoti

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No