

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.11795 of 2018
Date of decision : 08.05.2018**

Himanshu Tandon

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A. G., Punjab.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been implicated only on the basis of disclosure statement of the main accused and entire recovery has also been effected, whereas learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jeet Ram, submits that the entire recovery has not been effected and some part remains to be recovered.

The allegations against the petitioner are matter of evidence as he has been implicated on the basis of disclosure statement of the main accused and since the petitioner has joined the investigation, accordingly interim order dated 21.03.2018 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Disposed of.

08.05.2018

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No