

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

115

CRM-M No.11712 of 2018

Date of Decision: March 20th, 2018

Sunita Rani and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty from respondent Nos.4 and 5 and have, therefore, highlighted this position in their representation dated 17.03.2018 (Annexure P-5) addressed to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana-respondent No.2.

Counsel contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent Nos.2 and 3, to look into the representation dated 17.03.2018 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners, without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana-respondent No.2 and S.H.O., Police Station Kummkalan, District Ludhiana-respondent No.3, to look into the representation dated 17.03.2018 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law, if required.

March 20th, 2018

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No