

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11649-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.03.2018

Rohit Jain

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajeshwar Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the order dated 20.03.2018, an affidavit of the Deputy Commissioner of Police (Investigation), Ludhiana, has been filed in Court today by the learned State counsel, a copy of which has been given to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

It is stated in paragraph 4 of the said affidavit that one Arun Kumar had mortgaged his property measuring 500 sq. yds. as a guarantor with the complainant bank (Andhra Bank) and had also produced his voter card and PAN card. Thereafter the complainant bank came to know that the sale-deed of the property as had been mortgaged, was a fake document and did not actually belong to Arun Kumar. One Ranjit Singh son of Mewa Singh had impersonated as Arun Kumar and accordingly, Ranjit Singh was nominated as an accused. Thereafter, on further investigation, it was found by the police that at the time of taking the loan, one Deepak Kumar to whom the loan was actually to be disbursed (the aforesaid Arun Kumar @ Ranjit Singh being a guarantor) had prepared a

partnership deed dated 06.09.2013 and an addendum thereto dated 22.10.2013, in both of which documents the aforesaid Arun Kumar @ Ranjit Singh was shown to be a partner of Deepak Kumar, with the present petitioner, i.e. Rohit Jain, having signed on the said document as a witness.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual complicity or otherwise of the petitioner in the crime alleged to have been committed, I see no reason to entertain this petition, which is consequently dismissed.

26.03.2018
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no