

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.11624 of 2018

Date of Decision: 24.08.2018

Biram Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Shranav Katyal, Advocate
for the complainant.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, A.A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of order dated 19.03.2018, the petitioner has joined investigation and nothing is to be recovered from him.

Learned State counsel has also affirmed the factum of joining investigation by the petitioner and also submits that the challan is likely to be presented shortly. He further submits that the petitioner is not required for investigation at this stage.

The bail to the petitioner has been opposed by learned counsel for the complainant on the ground that it was not a case of accident but from the videography, it is clear that it was an intention of the accused person to cause injury by way of accident.

The allegations are the matter of record and the same shall be

considered by the trial Court during trial.

Since the investigation has been completed and the petitioner has joined the investigation, the interim order passed in his favour on 19.03.2018 is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

24.08.2018
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No