

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.11591 of 2018
Date of Decision: 19.03.2018

Kasturi Lal

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. R.S. Bajiyani, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.1 to 4 in the context of his grievance arising out of settlement of loan account with Punjab National Bank. The possession of property including school run by the petitioner was taken by the Bank along with fixtures and furniture of the school at the time of taking over the possession of the property. The Bank had also affixed its seal on the property.

Petitioner has alleged that large items of goods have been stolen from the property despite items being sealed by the Bank authorities and a guard was also deputed to take care of the property in question. The theft was reported to the police and when no action was taken, a representation dated

02.11.2017 was filed before respondents No.2 and 3.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. S.P. Singh, D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

Without meaning anything on the merits of the case, respondent No.3 is directed to have a fair look on the representation dated 02.11.2017 made by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact made hereinabove.

Disposed of.

19.03.2018

jyoti

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No