

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11634-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.07.2018

Mangat Ram and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Atam Sarup and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. AS Yadav, AAG, Haryana.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

None has put in appearance for the petitioners. Perusal of the file shows that on the previous date also, none had appeared for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for respondents No. 1 and 2 submits that the instant petition has rendered infructuous, in view of the fact that the possession of land in dispute has already been delivered to respondents No. 1 and 2.

In view of the above, the instant petition is dismissed as having rendered infructuous and for want of prosecution as well.

July 24, 2018

rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No