

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Manoj Kumar
2018-01-15 09:54
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM No.M- 11582 of 2016

Date of Order: 15.01.2018

Anil Goyal & Anr.

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate for
Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Virk, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners could not deny that as per a judgment dated 04.12.2014, of a Division Bench of this Court, passed in CRM No.M-4211 of 2014, this petition seeking quashing of the FIR has been rendered infructuous, in view of it having been held that an FIR can be registered, though cognizance would only be taken by the competent Court on the basis of a complaint filed before it.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

January 15, 2018
manoj

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No