

**115**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.11485 of 2018  
Date of Decision: 19.03.2018

Balwinder Rai

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH***

Present: Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)**

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to take appropriate action against the private respondents.

A complaint was duly moved on 07.03.2018 before respondent No.3 and the same was again supplemented by complaint of even date i.e. 07.03.2018 before respondent No.3. When no action was taken by respondent No.3, then a representation was filed before respondent No.2 on 10.03.2018.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes

to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

Without meaning anything on the merits of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to have a fair look on the representation made by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact made hereinabove.

Disposed of.

19.03.2018

*jyoti*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No