

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11442-2018

Date of decision : 07.05.2018

Simranjeet Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.R.S.Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.J.S.Walia, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Des Raj, submits that the petitioners were not found to be residing at the address given in the memo of parties.

However, earned counsel for the petitioners submits that they are residing at Ludhiana.

Be that as it may, the petitioners shown to be well above the age of 18 years, petitioner no.1 being about 28 years old now and petitioner no.2 being almost 39 years, this petition is disposed of with a direction that if the petitioners perceive any threat to the lives and liberty, they would obviously be free to approach the police authority concerned, who would duly ensure that they are given due protection as per law.

However, nothing stated in this order, or the previous one dated March 20, 2018, shall be taken to be any bar on proceedings initiated by any

person claiming to be a former spouse or spouse of either of the petitioners; or on the ground that there is any prohibited relationship between the parties. Any such proceedings initiated would be taken to their logical conclusion.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 07, 2018.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No