

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.11361 of 2018 (O&M)
Decided on: 26.03.2018**

Manpreet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Ajay Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.269 dated 20.10.2017 registered under Sections 395, 397 IPC and 25/54/59 of the Arms Act at Police Station City Dabwali, District Sirsa.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR and as per the allegation in the FIR, the complainant has organized a party at his Dhani on 19.10.2017 and 10-12 boys armed with dandas and pistol came there and fired a shot and asked everyone to hand over the articles which they had and on this, the complainants gave their mobile phones and cash to them and all those persons bolted them in a room and ran away. Two witnesses namely Major Singh and Babu Singh chased them but they inflicted injuries to them and ran away to Dabwali side on their motorcycles. It is further submitted that 04 persons namely Sukhwinder Singh @ Harsh, Nageena Ram, Jeewan Singh @ Jagjeewan Singh, Harpreet Singh @ Lovely were arrested and they had been released on regular bail by the

trial Court. It is, thus, submitted that the petitioner was not specifically named in the FIR and he is a resident of Rajasthan and his address has been wrongly given as of Haryana.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Ajaypal Singh, however, opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that the presence of the petitioner is very much there at the spot and it has come in the disclosure statement of co-accused – Gursewak Singh that countrymade pistol from which the fire was shot at the complainant, in fact, belonged to the petitioner and he had given the same to co-accused Gursewak Singh. Counsel for the State has further submitted that it is a case where mobile phones and cash were looted at gun point and four of the co-accused have already been arrested and some recovery has also been effected from them.

In view of the above facts and considering the serious allegations levelled against the petitioner forming the offence punishable under Sections 395, 397 IPC and 25/54/54 of the Arms Act and also in view of the fact that in the disclosure statement of the co-accused, the involvement of the petitioner – Manpreet Singh is there, I find no ground to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

26.03.2018
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No