

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

**CRM-M No. 11316 of 2018
Date of Decision: 22.03.2018**

Deepika

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Virender Singh Punia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. D.P.S. Bajwa, Advocate,
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No. 10 dated 14.01.2018 registered under Sections 384, 389, 120-B IPC at Police Station Garhi.

Alleged recovery was effected from Virender Singh. Petitioner is the author of FIR No. 586 dated 14.11.2017 for the offences under Sections 354, 363, 366, 376, 506 IPC registered at Police Station Pehwa, District Kurukshetra against brother of the complainant. Allegations against the petitioner are of connivance with Virender Singh in order to have hefty amount to retrace her stance in the pending case against the brother of the

complainant. No amount has been recovered from the petitioner. Allegation of extortion is attributed to co-accused Virender Singh in connivance with the petitioner for which reference has been made to number of calls having been made by the petitioner to the aforesaid Virender Singh.

Learned State Counsel has opposed the prayer of bail on the ground that petitioner is involved in the heinous offences under Sections 384 and 389 IPC and has put the complainant in fear of accusation of offence in order to commit extortion in connivance with Virender Singh.

Having heard the parties at this stage, I am of the view that prayer of the petitioner for grant of regular bail can be entertained in view of the fact that *prima facie* no recovery has been effected from the petitioner. It would be debatable as to the role of the petitioner in connivance with the main accused i.e Virender Singh in the context of extorting the amount from the complainant.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail subject to her furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

March 22, 2018.
neeraj

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No