

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1028-2017

Decided on: 06.08.2018

Daljit Singh and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Kewal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)

Mr. Rajesh Bhateja, Advocate has appeared on behalf of respondent No.5 by filing vakalatnama, which be taken on record.

Heard.

By way of filing the present petition, the petitioners pray for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 4 not to harass the petitioners at hands of respondent No.5, who is daughter-in-law of petitioners and is threatening the petitioners in connivance with respondent No.4 for implicating them in a false case.

Notice of the petition was given to respondents. Respondent No.1 to 4 have appeared through State counsel, whereas respondent No.5 through Mr. Rajesh Bhateja, Advocate.

It is stated that respondent No.5 had submitted a complaint against her husband and present petitioners being parent in law of the complainant. That complaint is still pending and that petitioners was summoned in connection with that enquiry.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from S.I. Rajinder Kumar, states that as and when the petitioners are required in connection with an enquiry, notice in accordance with law would be issued to them for that purpose.

In view of such statement made by the State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

06.08.2018

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No