

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

125

CRM-M No.11104 of 2018

Date of Decision: March 15th, 2018

Gagandeep Singh @ Jinda

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that initially petitioner was named in the FIR and the role attributed to him was *lalkara* from the rooftop. In investigation, the petitioner was found innocent and no challan was presented against him, however on an application moved by the prosecution, petitioner was summoned as an additional accused. On summoning, petitioner was never served, however, he was declared a proclaimed offender by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, on 21.11.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Counsel contends that the petitioner never intended to evade appearance before the Court and it is because of he being not aware of the proceedings against him that he did not appear before the Court. Petitioner is still ready and willing to join the proceedings in the Court, for which he would surrender on 22.03.2018 and move an application for grant of regular bail, which may be considered and decided at an early date.

In case the petitioner surrenders before the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana, on 22.03.2018 and moves an application for grant of regular bail, he shall be admitted to interim bail to

decision is taken on the application of the petitioner for grant of regular bail.

With these observations, the petition stands disposed of.

March 15th, 2018
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No