

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Revision 437 of 2004

Date of Decision : September 12, 2018

Mahender Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Shakuntala and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S. MANN

Present : Mr. Sudhir Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Deputy A.G., Haryana
for respondent No.4-State.

T.P.S. MANN, J. (Oral)

The complainant has filed the present revision under Section 401 Cr.P.C. for challenging the judgment dated 28.8.2003 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge (Adhoc), Hisar whereby the accused, who are respondents No.1 to 3 herein, were acquitted of the charges under Sections 452/323/506 read with Section 34 IPC.

After going through the impugned judgment, this Court finds that there was a delay in lodging of the report with the police. Even the place of occurrence had been changed by the

complainant party by exaggerating and improving upon their original version. That would mean that the genesis of the entire occurrence has been changed so as to give an impression that the occurrence had taken place in the house belonging to the complainant party. Even the injuries on the persons of accused Satya Devi and Aditi have not been explained by the prosecution.

In view of the above, no case is made out for any interference in the impugned judgment of acquittal.

The revision is devoid of any merit and, therefore, dismissed.

September 12, 2018
ajay-1

(T.P.S. MANN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No