

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10993 of 2018(O&M)

Date of Decision:17.05.2018

Sagar Jain and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for setting aside the impugned order dated 05.02.2018 (P-4) whereby the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurugram issued 'Non Bailable Warrants' against the petitioners/accused on account of their single default of appearance on the said date, in case FIR No. 1315 dated 14.10.2015 registered under Sections 344, 374, 323, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 23 and 26 Juvenile Justice Act and 3 SC/ST Act at Police Station City Gurgaon, District Gurugram.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that in terms of the order dated 16.03.2018, the petitioners have joined proceedings before learned trial Court and executed their bail bonds and surety bonds.

On instructions from ASI Khallel Ahmed, the above
factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel

In view of the above, the order dated 16.03.2018 is made
absolute.

Petition stands disposed off.

However, it is made clear that petitioner shall not repeat such
lapses in future and will fully co-operate with the learned trial Court.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

May 17, 2018
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no