

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-10963-2018

Date of Decision: March 15, 2018

Gurwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. G.S. Dhindsa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action against respondents No.3 to 5 on the basis of representation dated 04.10.2017 (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that respondent No.3 has performed the marriage with respondent No.4 without divorcing the petitioner and has filed a civil suit for injunction against the petitioner, which according to him is not maintainable.

3. In case, respondent No.3 has performed second marriage with respondent No.4 without divorcing the petitioner, the petitioner would be entitled to take action against her in accordance with law. No directions can be issued to the Police to take any action against respondents No.3 to 5.

4. The present petition is misconceived and is dismissed.

March 15, 2018

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

Whether Reportable :

No