

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.10931 of 2018
Date of Decision: 15.03.2018

Roshan Lal

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the complaint made to Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural) was marked to SHO, Police Station Phillaur-respondent No.3 vide endorsement No.1242 PTM dated 23.09.2017. No action has been taken by the police.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

Without meaning anything on the merits of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to have a fair look on the representation made by the petitioner in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

15.03.2018

jyoti

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No