

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No. M-10848-2018

Date of Decision: 16.08.2018

Vidhu

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Pradeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

...

Inderjit Singh, J.

Petitioner Vidhu has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0066 dated 30.03.2017, registered at Police Station City Kharar, under Sections 406, 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in this case.

Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

The FIR had been registered against 49 persons. In a nutshell, the allegation in the FIR is that the customers had taken the loan for specific purposes, for example for purchasing of car and had not purchased the car etc. and this money had been used by them for other purposes. The petitioner Vidhu had not taken any loan from the Bank, rather she stood guarantor for the loan taken by her husband Ripu Daman, who has already

been granted regular bail by the trial Court.

In pursuance of the interim order dated 21.03.2018, passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation. She is not required for custodial interrogation. Nothing is to be recovered from her. No useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in this petition and the same is allowed. The order dated 21.03.2018, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

16.08.2018
Jasmine Kaur

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No