

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -10828 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01.08.2018

Angrej Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. AG, Punjab.
assisted by HC Seva Singh.

Ms. Avinash Mandla, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.78 dated 04.05.2017, registered under Sections 447, 354, 379, 506, 323 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Lopoke, District Amritsar.

On 26.04.2018, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The petitioners are directed to join the investigation.

Post again on 30.05.2018.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- (ii) That they shall not directly or indirectly make any*

inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
(iii) That they shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.”

It is contended that in pursuance of order dated 26.04.2018, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

Heard.

The dispute is inter se the family members. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioners have joined the investigation, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 26.04.2018, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned. The amount deposited by the petitioners towards costs of litigation be released in favour of respondent No.2/complainant on making an application to the Registrar of this Court in this regard.

The petition stands allowed.

01.08.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable:

Yes	No
Yes	No