

CRM-M-10819-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10819-2018

Date of Decision: 20.03.2018

Jagdev @ Deva @ Majnu

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikas Lochab, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, D.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Though learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR was registered “showing a blind murder” and the petitioner has been in custody for the last 01 year and 02 months, with a large number of prosecution witnesses still remaining to be examined, however, learned State counsel submits that as a matter of fact, it was the nephew of the deceased who was found to be involved in the crime, who made a disclosure statement to the effect that the petitioner and he both had committed the crime, with a country-made pistol stated to have been recovered from the petitioner thereafter, the projectile from which is stated to have matched the projectile that killed the deceased.

He further submits that out of total of 28 prosecution witnesses, 13 have already been examined so far.

Considering the aforesaid facts, I do not consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail, at this stage.

Dismissed.

However, it is made clear that nothing stated hereinabove shall be taken to be a comment by this Court on the merits of the case, for or against the petitioners, which would be considered wholly in the light of the evidence led by the parties before the trial court.

March 20, 2018
nitin/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.