

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-A-899-MA-2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.07.2018.

Shanti Devi

..Applicant

Versus

Sukhbir and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
the applicant.

Mr. Abhay Pal Singh Gill, AAG, Punjab.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI J. (ORAL)

The applicant has preferred the instant application for grant of leave to file an appeal against the impugned judgment dated 31.03.2014, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Palwal, vide which respondents have been acquitted.

The complainant filed a complaint under Sections 323, 324, 506, 34 IPC on the allegations that on 12.12.2008 at about 11.00 a.m., the respondents after hatching a criminal conspiracy armed with lathis and dandas caused injuries to the complainant and her daughter-in-law.

After conducting preliminary inquiry, the accused were summoned and charges were framed against him.

The trial court after appreciation of evidence, acquitted the respondents as stated above.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant and have gone

through the record.

Perusal of the record of applicant as well as trial Court's record would reveal that there are contradictions regarding offence and the place of occurrence at the time of alleged incident. There is material contradiction regarding the weapon of injury also.

After going through the evidence on record of the lower Court and the impugned judgment/order of trial Court dated 31.03.2014 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Palwal, no ground is made out.

Hence, the appeal is dismissed.

13.07.2018
rekha

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

whether speaking/reasoned
whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No