

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10664-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.03.2018

Gurmeet

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak K. Grewal, D.A.G., Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Petitioner seeks the benefit of regular bail in case F.I.R. No.219 dated 7.3.2017 under sections 307, 506, 120-B, 34 I.P.C and section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station, City Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the parties have been heard.

FIR came to be registered on the statement of Surender Singh. As per prosecution version, on 6.3.2017 while complainant Surender and his friend Ravinder had alighted from the car in front of their Commission Agent shop, two unidentified assailants came on a motorcycle and the person riding the pillion fired at the complainant.

Present petitioner was arrested on 30.3.2017 and the role attributed to him was that he was driving the motorcycle. The role of firing is attributed to co-accused Tarsem, who was the pillion rider.

It is a case of no injury.

Investigation in the case is complete, challan has been presented and even charges have been framed. Trial would take time to conclude.

Petitioner has faced incarceration since 30.3.2017.

It is a case of circumstantial evidence.

Without making any observations on merits, present petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

20.03.2018

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No