

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-10663 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:15.12.2018

Kuldeep Singh @ Bunty and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

U.T., Chandigarh and another

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Rupinder Pal Singh Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Jain, APP for U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Samrit Gill, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for U.T., Chandigarh submits that Section 392 IPC, which has been added subsequently has not been mentioned in the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file fresh one after adding the aforesaid section.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

December 15, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No