

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

CRM-M No.10639 of 2018

Date of Decision: March 14th, 2018

Vikram Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Swarn Tiwana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the possession of the demised premises was taken illegally by the owner of the property by seeking police help, which was not ordered by the Court and in that process, the family members were beaten up and harassed. Even certain articles were broken and stolen from the premises which were in possession of the petitioner.

Highlighting this aspect, the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 27.09.2017 (Annexure P-2) to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, which has been followed up by further representation but no action thereon has been taken.

Counsel contends that although there is an order passed by the Executing Court for execution of the order by warrants of possession on 13.09.2017 (Annexure P-4) but that does not mean that the property which was in possession of the petitioner, can be got vacated with the help of police. He accordingly prays that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if the representations submitted by the petitioner to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, are considered by the said authority.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or referring thereto, the present petition is disposed of with an observation that the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, may look into the representations dated 27.09.2017 and 09.10.2017 (Annexures-9 and 10 respectively) submitted by the petitioner and if required, take action in accordance with law.

March 14th, 2018
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No