

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1068-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision:11.12.2018

Chanchal Mantrao

... Petitioner

Vs.

Vijay Kumar and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present : Ms. Sarika Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Sidhu, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Anand Kumar Bishnoi, Advocate for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C for quashing of the impugned order dated 4.11.2015 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala and order dated 30.04.2015 passed by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rajpura regarding interim maintenance that had been awarded.

In brief the facts are that a petition under Section 12 of Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 (for short “the Act”) was filed alongwith application seeking interim maintenance. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class by an order dated 30.04.2015 allowed interim maintenance. The said order was then challenged before the Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala. However, the matter was dismissed. Aggrieved against the said orders, the instant petition has been filed.

Appearance has been caused on behalf of learned counsel for respondent No.2 who contends that instant petition which arises out of orders passed on interim maintenance and enhancement thereon has become

infructuous since the matter stands finally concluded by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class on 27.03.2015.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the pleadings of the case. In view of the fact that matter stands finally concluded in a petition filed under Section 12 of the Act on 27.03.2015, no further orders are called for.

Accordingly, petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

11.12.2018
rajeev

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/No**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**