

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10589-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27.03.2018

Mohammad Akram

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. HPS Ishar, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.350 dated 29.10.2016, under Sections 147/149/427/436/506 IPC, registered at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length.

Uncontroverted position of fact is that the petitioner having been granted bail, absented from trial proceedings.

The only justification being put forth by counsel is that the petitioner could not appear as his paternal grandfather was ailing and he had to look after him.

On the last date of hearing, matter was adjourned for the counsel to furnish the precise date of death of the grandfather of the petitioner.

Mr. HPS Ishar, learned counsel during the course of resumed hearing today submits that grandfather of the petitioner expired on 13.07.2017. He fairly concedes that even after demise of the grandfather, on

numerous dates, petitioner did not appear before the trial Court. No plausible explanation is coming forth to justify the absence of the petitioner.

Petitioner has clearly misused the concession of bail that had been granted to him. Conduct of the petitioner dis-entitles him from the concession of bail.

Petition is dismissed.

27.03.2018

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |