

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-10536 of 2018
Date of Decision : 22.03.2018.

Sikandar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S.WALIA

Present: Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

B.S.WALIA, J.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Suresh Kumar states that in the preliminary investigation carried out in the FIR got registered by the petitioner regarding theft of tractor purchased by him on loan taken from the bank, it has come out that in fact the petitioner sold the tractor in question within a week of its purchase to one Abdul Sattar of Uttar Pradesh for a sum of Rs.4 Lakhs by executing an affidavit certifying that he had no objection to the transfer of the tractor in question in the name of said Abdul Sattar.

Copy of the affidavit produced by learned State Counsel, alleged to have been executed by the petitioner, giving his no objection to the transfer of the tractor in question in the name of Abdul Sattar of Uttar Pradesh, is taken on record.

Learned Deputy Advocate General states that in the circumstances, the police registered a case under Sections 420, 120- and 182 of the Indian Penal Code against the petitioner and three others and that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required in respect thereto.

In the light of the position as noted above, no case is made out for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

22.03.2018
rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No