

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM-M-10503 of 2018

Date of Decision: March 14, 2018

Paramjeet Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Ms.Garima Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J (Oral)

This is the second petition moved by the petitioner seeking anticipatory bail in a case registered against him under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC. Similar application moved by the petitioner was recently dismissed on 6.2.2018. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that present petition is maintainable as there is a change in the circumstances. According to her, number of issues have not been taken into consideration. Prosecution alleges that petitioner took a sum of Rs.12 lacs from the complainant with assurance that he would provide a job to him as he had many links in the offices at Chandigarh. Complainant is alleged to have handed over a sum of Rs.6,50,000/- to one of the accused. State took a stand before this court that despite interim bail granted to the petitioner, he had refused to cooperate.

It is inexplicable how the instant petition has been preferred shortly after dismissal of earlier one by another counsel. It appears

custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for taking the investigation to its logical end. Petition not only lacks substance but is totally frivolous. Same is hereby dismissed with Rs.20,000/- as costs, to be remitted to High Court Legal Services Committee.

(Rajan Gupta)
Judge

March 14, 2018

BB

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No